P.O. Nox 260 Newark, NJ 07101

P.O. Fox 12070 Phila., PA 19106

AUG 2 5 1982

## Doer Applicants

We have completed our review of the application for recognition of exemption under section SOI(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code which you recently filed.

The evidence submitted indicates that you were formed for the purpose of operating as a church in

rour primary activities include conducting religious worship services and hible classes at various locations throughout the City of the land in suburban throughout the city of the primary place of your activities, however, is also the personal residence of your foundar and creator,

Your minister, prepared for his role as pastor by studying at the studying at

rou also presented a credential from the in in stating that you were ordified as a minister by that organisation

Income to your organization comes primarily from donations from your members. Expenses to date have been primarily for administrative expenses.

Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code provides for the exemption from Federal income tax of organizations organized and operated exclusively for charitable and educational purposes.

Income tax regulations section 1.501(a) (3)-(1) (1) (1) provides that, to be exempt, an organization described in section 501(a) (3) must be both organized and operated exclusively for one or more of the purposes described in such section. If an organization fails to meet either the organizational test or the operational test, it is not exampt.

Regulations section 1.501(a)(3)-1(b)(1) apocifies that an organization is organized exclusively for one or more exempt purposes only if its Articles of Incorporation limit the purposes of such organization to one or more exampt purposes.

Regulations section 1.501(o)(3)-1(b)(4) specifies that an organization is not organized for one or more exempt purposes unless its assets are dedicated to an exempt purpose.

Regulations section 1.501(a)(3)=1(a)(1) stipulates that an organisation will be regarded as operated exclusively for one or more except purposes only if it engages primarily in activities which accomplish any or more of such except purposes specified in section 501(a)(3). An organisation will not be so regarded if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an except purpose.

Regulations section 1.501(0)(1)-1(0)(1)(11) specifies that an organization is not organized or operated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes unless it serves public rather than private interests.

To qualify for exception under section \$01(0)(3), the applicant has the Jarden of shoring (1) that it was organized and operated exclusively for religious or charitable purpose, (2) that no part of its carnings inured to the benefit of a private individual or shareholder, and (3) that no substantial part of its activities consisted of the dissemination of propagands or otherwise attempting to influence legislation or engaging in rollitical activity.

To qualify for recognition as a church within the meaning of section 170(b) (1) (A) (1) of the Code; an organisation must, at a minimum, establish that it has a body of believers or communicants that assembles regularly in order to worship and that it is reasonably available to the public in its conduct of worship and educational instruction and presudgation of its doctrine.

The United States Tax Court has insued the following additional guidelines on whether an organisation will qualify for exemption under section 501(c)(3) and as a church as described in section 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(1) of the Code.

In Truth Tabarnacle, TC News 1991-714, the Court stated that a church organization did not meet the requirements for re-exemption under section 501(c)(3). The record showed that the organization did not maintain formal accounting records of its expanditues or contributions and did not have documentation on the use of its funds. Therefore, the Court concluded that there was insufficient information to determine whether the organization was operated exclusivally for any exempt purpose and denied exemption to the organization. See also Church of the Eransfiguring Spirit v. Commissioner, TC 1 (1991) and Southern Church of Brotherhood v. Commissioner, 74 FC 186 (1979).

In Brown V. Commissioner, To Meno 1980-553, the applicant erganization obtained a charter to form a church from the Universal Life Church. These credentials also certified that Mr. Brown had been ordeined as a minister by this church. In this case, the Court fuled that the predentials issued to Mr. Brown by the Universal Life Church did not exatitle him to status as a minister of the church formed by Mr. Brown to exception as a church. This determination was kaped on the premise that the ruling issued by the Service to the Universal Life Church in Modesto applied only to the parent church and not to any other churches formed at a later date.

Resed on the evidence presented to us concerning we have determined that you are not entitled to exemption under section 501(b) (3) because you are not organized and operated enclusively for section 501(c) (3) purposes. You fail the organizational test of this section since your assets are not dedicated to an enterph purposes. You fail the operational test because you have not established that you are a church, and your oredestials from the do not entitle you to this classification. You are required to file rederminations tax returns on form 1120.

you have the right to appeal our determination if you believe it is incorrect. To appeal, please refer to the anglosed Publication 892.

In accordance with section \$104(a) of the Code, we are motifying the appropriate state officials of this determination.

If you do not protest our determination within 10 days from the date of this letter, this determination will become final. If you do not appeal this determination in a timely manner, this will be considered by the internal Revenue Farvice as a failure to take all reasonable stops to secure the ruling you requested. Under section 7428(b) (2) of the code, your failure to take all reasonable stops to secure the ruling requested may be combidered as a failure to making the administrative readles available to you within the Marvice and thus, may precipely the lasuance of a deplaratory judgment in this matter under the judicial proceedings of Section 7428.

Sincerely yours,

District Director

Roclosure. Pub 832

clh